	Z	x ₁	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>x</i> ₃	<i>x</i> ₄	RHS
z	1	-2	0	-3	0	-12
<i>x</i> ₄	0	-3	0	-2	1	0
x_2	0	1	1	1	0	4

To find the range [2, λ_2] over which this tableau is optimal, we first find $\overline{\mathbf{b}}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{b}}'$:

$$\overline{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6\\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -6\\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\overline{\mathbf{b}}' = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{b}' = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, $S = \{2\}$ and λ_2 is given by

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{\overline{b}_2}{-\overline{b}_2'} = \frac{6}{-(-1)} = 6.$$

For λ in the interval [2, 6] the optimal objective value and the right-hand-side are given by

$$z(\lambda) = \mathbf{c}_{B}\overline{\mathbf{b}} + \lambda\mathbf{c}_{B}\overline{\mathbf{b}}'$$

= $(0, -3)\begin{pmatrix} -6\\6 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda(0, -3)\begin{pmatrix} 3\\-1 \end{pmatrix} = -18 + 3\lambda$
 $\overline{\mathbf{b}} + \lambda\overline{\mathbf{b}}' = \begin{bmatrix} -6\\6 \end{bmatrix} + \lambda\begin{bmatrix} 3\\-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -6+3\lambda\\6-\lambda \end{bmatrix}.$

The optimal tableau over the interval [2, 6] is depicted below:

	Z	x_1	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>x</i> ₃	<i>x</i> ₄	RHS
Ζ	1	-2	0	-3	0	$-18 + 3\lambda$
x_4	0	-3	0	-2	1	$-6 + 3\lambda$
<i>x</i> ₂	0	1	1	1	0	$6 - \lambda$

At $\lambda = 6$, x_2 drops to zero. Since all entries in the x_2 row are nonnegative, we stop with the conclusion that no feasible solutions exist for all $\lambda > 6$. Figure 6.8 summarizes the optimal bases and the corresponding objective values for $\lambda \ge 0$. Note that the optimal objective value as a function of λ is piecewise linear and convex. In Exercise 6.66 we ask the reader to show that this is always true. The breakpoints correspond to the values of λ for which alternative optimal dual solutions exist.

Comment on Deriving Shadow Prices via a Parametric Analysis

Observe that parametric analysis can be used to ascertain the structure of the optimal value function $z^*(b_i)$ (see Equation (6.4)) as a function of b_i , in the neighborhood of the current value of b_i , for any $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$. Accordingly, we can then determine the right-hand and left-hand shadow prices with respect to



Figure 6.8. Optimal objectives and bases as a function of λ .

 b_i as the respective right-hand and left-hand derivatives of $z^*(b_i)$ at the current value of b_i , where the former value is taken as infinity in case an increase in b_i renders the primal problem in Equation (6.1) infeasible.

More specifically, consider determining the right-hand shadow price with respect to b_i , for some $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$. In this case, the right-hand-side **b** is replaced by $\mathbf{b} + \lambda \mathbf{b}'$, where $\mathbf{b}' = \mathbf{e}_i$, the *i*th unit vector. Accordingly, we can now perform the foregoing parametric analysis until we arrive at a tableau that remains optimal as λ increases from zero (up to some positive level) or else, we detect unboundedness of the dual (infeasibility of the primal) as λ increases from zero. In the former case, the right-hand shadow price is given by $w_i = (\mathbf{c}_B \mathbf{B}^{-1})_i$ for the corresponding current tableau, and in the latter case, it is infinite in value. In a similar manner, we can compute the left-hand shadow price as the w_i value corresponding to the tableau that remains optimal as λ increases from the value of zero, where the right-hand-side is now perturbed according to $\mathbf{b} - \lambda \mathbf{e}_i$. Exercise 6.70 asks the reader to illustrate this approach.

EXERCISES

[6.1] Use the standard form of duality to obtain the dual of the following problem. Also verify the relationships in Table 6.1.

$$\begin{array}{rclrcrcrcrc} \mbox{Minimize} & c_1 x_1 & + & c_2 x_2 & + & c_3 x_3 \\ \mbox{subject to} & A_{11} x_1 & + & A_{12} x_2 & + & A_{13} x_3 & \geq & b_1 \\ & A_{21} x_1 & + & A_{22} x_2 & + & A_{23} x_3 & \leq & b_2 \\ & A_{31} x_1 & + & A_{32} x_2 & + & A_{33} x_3 & = & b_3 \\ & & & & & x_1 & \geq & 0 \\ & & & & & x_2 & \leq & 0 \\ & & & & & & x_3 & & & unrestricted. \end{array}$$

[6.2] Give the dual of the following problem:

Maximize $-2x_1 + 3x_2 + 5x_3$ subject to $-2x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 + x_4 \ge 5$ $2x_1 + x_3 = 4$ $-2x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \le 6$ $x_1 \le 0$ $x_2, x_3 \ge 0$ unrestricted.

Maximize	$-x_1$	+	$3x_2$		
subject to	$2x_1$	+	$3x_2$	\leq	6
Ū	x_1	-	$3x_{2}^{2}$	≥	-3
	x_1 ,		x_2^2	≥	0.

- a. Solve the problem graphically.
- b. State the dual and solve it graphically. Utilize the theorems of duality to obtain the values of all the primal variables from the optimal dual solution.
- [6.4] Solve the following linear program by a graphical method:

Maximize	$3x_1$	+	$3x_2$	+	$21x_{3}$		
subject to	$6x_1$	+	$9x_{2}^{-}$	+	$25x_{3}$	\leq	15
2	$3x_1$	+	$2x_{2}^{2}$	+	$25x_{3}^{2}$	\leq	20
	x_{l} ,		x_{2}^{2} ,		<i>x</i> ₃	\geq	0.

(*Hint*: Utilize the dual problem.)

[6.5] Consider the following problem:

Maximize	$10x_{1}$	+	$24x_{2}$	+	$20x_{3}$	+	$20x_{4}$	+	$25x_{5}$		
subject to	x_1	+	x_2	+	$2x_3$	+	$3x_4$	+	$5x_5$	\leq	19
	$2x_1$	+	$4x_{2}^{-}$	+	$3x_3$	+	$2x_4$	+	x_5	\leq	57
	x_{l} ,		x_2^- ,		x_{3}^{r} ,		x_4 ,		x_5	≥	0.

- a. Write the dual problem and verify that $(w_1, w_2) = (4, 5)$ is a feasible solution.
- b. Use the information in Part (a) to derive an optimal solution to both the primal and the dual problems.
- [6.6] Consider the following problem:

- a. Give the dual linear problem.
- b. Solve the dual geometrically.
- c. Utilize information about the dual linear program and the theorems of duality to solve the primal problem.
- [6.7] Consider the following linear programming problem:

Maximize	$2x_1$	+	$3x_2$	+	$5x_3$		
subject to	x_1	+	$2x_{2}^{2}$	+	$3x_3$	\leq	8
	x_1	_	$2x_2$	+	$2x_3$	\leq	6
	x_1 ,		x_2^{-} ,		x_3	≥	0.